

W Warren Averett

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION TABLE OF CONTENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	1
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	8
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	10
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	13
Corrective Action Plan	17





INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

To the Commissioners of Jefferson County Commission Birmingham, Alabama

Report on the Audit of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the financial statement) of Jefferson County Commission (the Commission) for the year ended September 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the expenditures of federal awards of the Commission for the year ended September 30, 2021, in accordance with the basis of accounting described in Note 2.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 29, 2022, on our consideration of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters related to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Commission's internal control over financial reporting and compliance applicable to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

Waven averett, LLC Birmingham, Alabama

April 29, 2022

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Expenditures	Contract / Grant Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT					
Direct Programs:					
CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster					
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218		· \$	\$ 118,420	B-14-UC-01-0000
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218			571	B-15-UC-01-0001
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218		1	9,210	B-16-UC-01-0001
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218		•	154,589	B-17-UC-01-0001
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218		•	369,170	B-18-UC-01-0001
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218		52,514	386,630	B-19-UC-01-0001
Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants	14.218		4,487	1,224,419	B-20-UC-01-0002
COVID-19 Community Development Block Grants /					
Entitlement Grants	14.218		•	992,775	
			57,001	3,255,784	
Direct Programs:					
CDBG - Disaster Recovery Grants Cluster					
Hurricane Sandy Community Development Block Grant					
Disaster Recovery Grants	14.269		•	313,708	B-12-UT-01-0001
Hurricane Sandy Community Development Block Grant					
Disaster Recovery Grants	14.269		1	257,547	B-13-US-01-0001
			i I	571,255	
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231		891,390	915,855	
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231		•	39,629	E-17-UC-01-0005
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231		•	27,134	E-18-UC-01-0005
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231		•	86,171	E-19-UC-01-0005
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231			6,731	E-20-UC-01-0005
•			891,390	1,075,520	
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		•	13,174	M15-UC-01-0202
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		•	16,320	M16-UC-01-0202
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		•	37,858	M17-UC-01-0202
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		1	164,845	M19-UC-01-0202
			'	232,197	

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS – CONTINUED FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title Passed Through Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs (ADECA): Community Development Block Grants / State's Program and Non-Entitlement Grants in Hawaii TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEVELOPMENT Direct Programs: Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	Federal Assistance Listing Number 14.228	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number N/A	Passed Through to Subrecipients \$ 948,391	\$ 10,810 5,145,566	Contract / Grant Number
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program Comprehensive Opioid, Stimulant and Substance Abuse Program	16.585		228,264 365,953 195,323	239,638 379,493 197,608	2018-DC-BX-0025 2020-AR-BX-0138
Comprehensive Opioid, Stimulant and Substance Abuse Program	16.838		284,624	296,082 493,690	2018-AR-BX-K013
Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program Gulf States Regional Law Enforcement Technology	16.590			66,729	2016-SI-AX-K003
Training and Technical Assistance Initiative Crime Victim Assistance / Discretionary Grants COVID-19 Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental	16.843 16.582		1 7	72,130 176,616	2020-RZ-BX-0001 2018-V3-GX-0019
Funding Program Passed Through Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs (ADECA):	16.034			43,376	2020-VD-BX-0191
Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609	N/A		42,815	18-ND-01-008
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			845,900	1,274,849	

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS – CONTINUED FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Expenditures	Contract / Grant Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Passed Through Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs (ADECA): Workforce Investment Act (WIOA) Cluster					
WIOA Adult Program WIOA Youth Activities	17.258	4 /Z	\$ 1,119,271	\$ 3,662,831	
WIOA Dislocated Worker Formula Grant	17.278	N/A	133,377	1,188,338	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			2,453,371	6,579,415	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Direct Programs: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Projects of Regional and National Significance	93.243		376,763	389,779	18TI81163A-01
Substance Abuse and Methia Treath Services Frugeds of Regional and National Significance	93.243		348,063 724,826	351,935	H79TI082946-01
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES			724,826	741,714	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY Passed Through Alabama Department of Homeland Security:					
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	A/N	ı	49,946	EMW-2019-SS-00036
Homeland Security Grant Program Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	N/A		57,500	EMW-2020-55-00071
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	∀ / Z	t	26,504	EMW-2020-55-00071
nomeratio occumy Grant Program	100.16	2		178,342	EMIV-20 18-55-00050
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				178,342	

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS – CONTINUED FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Expenditures	Contract / Grant Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Direct Programs: Economic Development Cluster					
Economic Adjustment Assistance	11.307		€	\$ 1,035,967	
Economic Adjustment Assistance	11.307			370,003 1,405,970	04-39-03391.02
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				1,405,970	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY Direct Programs:					
	21.019		4,632,735	37,892,774	FAIN SLT0106
COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	21.027		ı	152,949	FAIN SLFRP1176
COVID-19 Emergency Rental Assistance Program	21.023		10,761,961	10,783,023	FAIN ERA0356
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY			15,394,696	48,828,746	
ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION Passed Through The State of Alabama					
COVID-19 Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	90.401	NA		982,369	
TOTAL ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION				982,369	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 20,367,184	\$ 65,136,971	

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the financial statement) summarizes the expenditures of the Jefferson County Commission (the Commission) under programs of the federal government for the year ended September 30, 2021. The information in the financial statement is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the financial statement presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Commission, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the Commission.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

For purposes of the financial statement, expenditures for federal awards programs are recognized on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

3. FEDERAL PASS-THROUGH FUNDS

The Commission is a subrecipient of federal funds which have been subject to testing and are reported as expenditures and listed as federal pass-through funds in the financial statement.

4. INDIRECT COST RATE

The Commission has elected not to use the ten percent de minimis cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

5. OTHER

The Commission issues loans through the Workforce & Economic Development Office for eligible recipients. The following loans were outstanding as of September 30, 2021:

	Federal		Less	
	Assistance		Allowance for	
	Listing	Loan	Doubtful	Net Loans
	Number	Outstanding	Accounts	Outstanding
Economic Development Administration	11.307	\$ 1,687,612	\$ 207,402	\$ 1,480,210
Community Development Block Grants /				
Entitlement Grants	14.218	1,285,545	686,692	598,853
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	15,020,431	10,671,711	4,348,720





INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Commissioners of Jefferson County Commission Birmingham, Alabama

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the financial statement) of the Jefferson County Commission (the Commission), for the year ended September 30, 2021, and the related notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, and have issued our report thereon dated April 29, 2022.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the Commission's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Jefferson County Commission's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Commission's financial statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statement. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Commission's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Wanen averett, LLC Birmingham, Alabama

April 29, 2022





INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Commissioners of Jefferson County Commission Birmingham, Alabama

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Jefferson County Commission's (the Commission) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Commission's major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2021. The Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Commission's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program, occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Commission's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Commission complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2021.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance which is required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2021-001. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to this matter. The Commission's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Commission's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Commission's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we did identity a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2021-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

The Commission's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Commission's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Birmingham, Alabama

Warren averet, LLC

April 29, 2022

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS Financial Statement Unmodified Type of auditors' report issued: Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? X no yes Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weaknesses? Х none reported yes Noncompliance material to financial statement noted? Χ yes Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? yes Х Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weaknesses? none reported Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a)? X yes no Identification of major programs and type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: **Federal Federal Program Assistance Opinion Type** Listing No. COVID-19 Emergency Rental Assistance Program 21.023 Unmodified Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement 14.218 Unmodified Grants COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund 21.019 Unmodified Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B program: \$ 1,954,109 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? X no ____yes

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS SECTION

This section identifies the significant deficiencies, material weaknesses and instances of noncompliance related to the financial statement that are required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

None noted.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SECTION

This section identifies the significant deficiencies, material weaknesses and instances of noncompliance related to the major federal programs that are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Finding 2021-001 - Subrecipient Monitoring - Significant Deficiency

Information on the Federal Program: COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund, Assistance Listing #21.019

Criteria: 2 CFR 200.302(b)(1) of the Uniform Guidance states that a nonfederal entity must identify in its accounts all federal awards received and expended, as well as the federal programs under which they were received. The Uniform Guidance also requires federal expenditures to be recorded properly and timely to ensure accuracy and completeness of the expenditure amounts reported on the schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA).

2 CFR 200.332 requires pass-through entities follow certain requirements with respect to subrecipients. A summary of the requirements includes (1) subawards include certain required information, (2) the pass-through entity performs a risk assessment over each subrecipient, (3) imposing specific subaward conditions upon a subrecipient if appropriate, (4) monitor the activities of the subrecipient, (5) perform additional monitoring if necessary based on the risk assessment, (6) verify each subrecipient is audited if the subrecipient's Federal awards expended during the respective fiscal year equaled or exceeded the single audit threshold, (7) consider the results of the subrecipient's audits, on-site reviews or other monitoring, and (8) take enforcement action against noncompliant subrecipients if necessary.

Condition: During the audit, revisions were made to the SEFA to correct expenditures passed through to subrecipients that were not accurately reported. These revisions impacted the total federal expenditures reported on the SEFA and the major program determination.

In addition, of the five subrecipients selected for testing, we noted the following:

- 1) All five agreements with the subrecipients did not clearly contain the Assistance Listing number and name, subrecipients' unique entity identifier or the federal award date.
- 2) A risk assessment was not formally documented for subrecipients.
- 3) While the Commission has a process in place to approve all expenditures prior to disbursement, there was no formal documentation of the review retained by the Commission. Additionally, an on-site review of the subrecipients was not performed and financial and programmatic reports were not provided to the Commission for review.
- 4) The Commission did not verify that every subrecipient received a Single Audit when applicable. No review of Single Audits was performed by the Commission.

Cause: Program managers, Department of Finance or other Commission personnel are responsible to ensure accurate information is reported as federal expenditures on the SEFA. Due to the decentralized nature of grant management within the Commission's departments and divisions that receive federal assistance, amounts may not be accurately or completely reported. Additionally, the Commission's policies and procedures were not properly aligned with the requirements applicable to subrecipients.

Effect: The lack of effective internal controls over the preparation of the SEFA could result in the inaccurate reporting of the Commission's expenditures as reported on the SEFA. The Commission is not in compliance with Federal requirements as outlined in 2 CFR 200.302(b)(1) and 2 CFR 200 Section 200.332 related to subrecipient monitoring.

Questioned Costs: N/A

Context: At the time of audit, subrecipient expenditures were misstated for two of the major programs. Total Federal expenditures for the Coronavirus Relief Fund were \$37,892,774 for the year ended September 30, 2021. Total subrecipient expenditures for the year ended September 30, 2021 were \$4,632,735.

Repeat Finding: No.

Auditors' Recommendation: As part of an effective internal control system, we recommend that the Commission develop procedures to ensure that all information included in the SEFA is correctly reported. We also recommend that the Commission update policies and procedures to ensure that all required elements are communicated to subrecipients at the time subawards are made or in subsequent subaward modifications if data elements change. Further, the Commission should ensure that risk assessments related to subrecipients and monitoring of subrecipients are formally documented.

Views of Responsible Officials: See Management's Response and Corrective Action Plan included at the end of the report.

JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSION

Cal Markert
County Manager

JAMES A. (JIMMIE) STEPHENS - President
LASHUNDA SCALES - President Pro-Tempore
STEVE AMMONS
JOE KNIGHT
SHEILA TYSON

FINANCE DEPARTMENT ANGELA M. DIXON, CPA Chief Financial Officer 716 Richard Arrington, Jr. Bivd. N. Birmingham, Alabama 35203

Jefferson County Commission Corrective Action Plan Year Ended September 30, 2021

Finding:

2021-001 - Subrecipient Monitoring

Status:

Partially Complete

Management's Corrective Action Plan:

The Jefferson County Commission concurs with the finding. The Finance Department will conduct additional training on year end closeout processes to ensure grant activities are properly reflected in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). Preparation of the SEFA will be centralized in the Finance department and quality control measures will be implemented to ensure the SEFA is properly reviewed and approved in a timely manner. To properly address subrecipient monitoring, Jefferson County will determine, during the program design phase, if there is a sub-recipient, beneficiary, or contractor relationship. Once that determination is made, contracts will be drafted to include all required data elements. Subrecipient expenditures incurred will be analyzed to determine the level of monitoring required based upon the assessment of the risk of non-compliance with the grant requirements. The results of the assessment as well as any documentation obtained to demonstrate compliance with grant requirements will be included as part of the subrecipient's files and will be available for any future audits. The County and its consultant, Witt O'Brien's, will also draft and utilize a monitoring plan to ensure compliance with 2 CFR 200.332 for any applicable grants.

Responsible Party: Angela M. Dixon, Chief Financial Officer

Expected Completion Date: September 30, 2022

